

Compact Quantum Groupoids¹

N.P. Landsman

Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics
University of Amsterdam
Plantage Muidergracht 24
NL-1018 TV AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

Abstract

Quantum groupoids are a joint generalization of groupoids and quantum groups. We propose a definition of a compact quantum groupoid that is based on the theory of C^* -algebras and Hilbert bimodules. The essential point is that whenever one has a tensor product over $\mathbb{C}$ in the theory of quantum groups, one now uses a certain tensor product over the base algebra of the quantum groupoid.

1 Introduction

Quantum groupoids are relatively novel objects in mathematics, for which a number of distinct definitions have been proposed in the literature. The “algebraic” definition of Maltziniotis [13] is relevant to Tannakian categories [5, 3], the “measurable” definition of Vallin [19] plays a role in subfactors [6], and the “smooth” definition of Lu [12] has applications to deformation quantization [21] and to quantum dynamical Yang–Baxter equations [7]. Moreover, weak C^* Hopf algebras [2], which occur in the algebraic theory of superselection sectors in quantum field theory [16], are finite quantum groupoids in disguise [14].

For reasons that originate in algebraic quantum field theory, we are here going to define compact quantum groupoids. Our general strategy is borrowed from noncommutative geometry [4, 20]. Given some geometric or topological object of “classical” mathematics, one attempts to describe this object in terms of commutative C^* -algebras endowed with additional structure, and subsequently tries to define the corresponding “quantum” object by dropping commutativity. The first step usually involves the passage from the object in question to its “dual” description in terms of the space of continuous functions on it. Ideally, this should lead to an anti-equivalence of categories, also known as a duality.

In the simplest example, the object is a compact² space X , which is dually and completely characterized by the commutative unital C^* -algebra $C(X)$ of continuous functions on it. It is

¹Dedicated to Professor H.-D. Doebner on the occasion of his formal retirement. To appear in ‘Quantum Theory and Symmetries’ (Goslar, 18–22 July 1999), eds. H.-D. Doebner, V.K. Dobrev, J.-D. Hennig and W. Luecke (World Scientific, 2000).

²We include the Hausdorff property in the definition of compactness; in this terminology a topological space satisfying the finite covering property is called quasicompact.

interesting to note that the algebraic operation of pointwise multiplication in $C(X)$ may be seen as a map

$$\mu : C(X) \otimes C(X) \simeq C(X \times X) \rightarrow C(X),$$

given by $\mu(F) : x \rightarrow F(x, x)$, which is dual to the diagonal map $\delta : x \rightarrow x \times x$ from X to $X \times X$; see [8]. Note that the tensor product is not quite the algebraic one over $\mathbb{C}$, but a C^* -algebraic one, which is a certain completion of it. The latter is uniquely defined on commutative C^* -algebras, and satisfies $C(X) \otimes C(Y) \simeq C(X \times Y)$. The unit I in $C(X)$, which is the function identically equal to 1, should be seen as a map $\eta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow C(X)$, given by $\eta(z) = zI$. This map is dual to the map $X \rightarrow e$, where $e = \{e\}$ is any set with one element.

The Gelfand-Neumark lemma on the structure of commutative C^* -algebras actually implies that the category of compact Hausdorff spaces is dual to the category of commutative unital C^* -algebras [8]. Hence a quantum compact space is nothing but a general C^* -algebra with unit. In this case, the additional structure alluded to above merely consist of the presence of a unit.

In this paper we extend these ideas to increasingly involved algebraic structures, still combined with the topological structure of compactness; this culminates in the definition of compact quantum groupoids.

2 Dual descriptions

The following diagram suggests how we may continue to add structure:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{groups} & \subset & \text{unital semigroups} & \subset & \text{semigroups} \\ \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\ \text{groupoids} & \subset & \text{small categories} & \subset & \text{sets} \end{array}$$

From ‘sets’ in the lower right corner we may evidently proceed in two directions. In one direction, one has the compact semigroups, whose dual description is due to Hofmann [8]. Given that a compact semigroup Σ is dually described by $C(\Sigma)$ as a topological space, the additional structure encoding the semigroup law on Σ is now given by a coproduct $\Delta : C(\Sigma) \rightarrow C(\Sigma) \otimes C(\Sigma)^3$. Explicitly, the coproduct is given by

$$\Delta f(x, y) = f(xy). \quad (1)$$

Associativity of the semigroup multiplication law is expressed by the coassociativity property imposed on the coproduct, viz.

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta. \quad (2)$$

The presence of a unit e in a semigroup Σ is dually encoded by a counit $\epsilon : C(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by $\epsilon(f) = f(e)$. The axiom $xe = ex = x$ for all $x \in \Sigma$ is dually expressed by

$$(\text{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta = (\epsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = \text{id}. \quad (3)$$

The singleton e to which the unit in $C(\Sigma)$ is dual may now be identified with the unit in Σ .

This leads to an anti-equivalence between the category of compact semigroups (with unit) and the category of commutative unital C^* -algebras with coproduct (and counit). Although this clearly suggests a definition, a general theory of quantum (unital) semigroups remains to be developed.

³Here and in what follows, any map between two unital C^* -algebras is a unital $*$ -homomorphism as a standing assumption (except when some conflicting property is explicitly stated, as in the case of a coinverse). These are the arrows in the category of all unital C^* -algebras.

The passage from unital semigroups Σ to groups G is effected by adding an inverse $x \rightarrow x^{-1}$. In the dual description, the inverse is encoded by the coinverse (inferiorly called antipode) $S : C(G) \rightarrow C(G)$, given by

$$Sf(x) = f(x^{-1}). \quad (4)$$

Here, in the commutative case, this map happens to be an involutive $*$ -homomorphism, but in general a coinverse is by definition an algebra anti-homomorphism satisfying

$$(S \circ *)^2 = \text{id}. \quad (5)$$

Further properties of the inverse are dually encoded by the axioms

$$\mu \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \mu \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = \eta \circ \epsilon. \quad (6)$$

Since these axioms cannot be generalized to groupoids, for later use we here list two well-known consequences of (6), viz.

$$S \circ \eta = \eta; \quad (7)$$

$$\tau \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ S. \quad (8)$$

Here τ is the flip map $\tau(f \otimes g) = g \otimes f$.

Thus one is led to a categorical duality between compact groups and commutative unital C^* -algebras with coproduct, counit, and coinverse satisfying (6) ⁴. The existence of a Haar measure, here seen as a linear map $P : C(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$(\text{id} \otimes P) \circ \Delta = (P \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = \eta \circ P, \quad (9)$$

is a consequence of these axioms. However, as a warmup for the dual description of a compact groupoid, we note that compact groups are equally well dual to commutative unital C^* -algebras with coproduct, coinverse satisfying (7) and (8), and Haar measure. Hence one can trade a coinverse satisfying (6) and a counit for a coinverse satisfying (7) - (8) and a Haar measure ⁵.

In the other direction in the diagram, we may turn from sets to small categories ⁶. A category $\mathcal{C}$ consists of an arrow (or morphism) space $\mathcal{C}_1$, an object space $\mathcal{C}_0$, source and target projections $s : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ and $t : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$, an object inclusion map $\iota : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$, and a multiplication $m : \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$. Here

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_1 \circ_s \mathcal{C}_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_1 \mid s(x) = t(y)\}. \quad (10)$$

These are subject to axioms discussed below. In order to pass to the dual description of a category, we assume that $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_0$ are compact. In that case, $C(\mathcal{C}_0)$ and $C(\mathcal{C}_1)$ are commutative C^* -algebras with unit. Instead of a single unit $\eta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow C(X)$, we now have two maps $\eta_t : C(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $\eta_s : C(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{C}_1)$, given by the pullbacks $\eta_t = t^*$ and $\eta_s = s^*$.

We dualize the diagram $\mathcal{C}_0 \xleftarrow{t} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{C}_0$, by constructing a bimodule ⁷ $C(\mathcal{C}_0) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{C}_1) \leftarrow C(\mathcal{C}_0)$. The left action λ and the right action ρ of $C(\mathcal{C}_0)$ on $C(\mathcal{C}_1)$ are given, for $\tilde{f} \in C(\mathcal{C}_0)$, $g \in C(\mathcal{C}_1)$, by

$$\lambda(\tilde{f})g = \eta_t(\tilde{f})g; \quad \rho(\tilde{f})g = \eta_s(\tilde{f})g. \quad (11)$$

⁴These are often called (commutative) Hopf C^* -algebras. Woronowicz's definition of a compact quantum group [20] is not based on the above axioms, but on a rather subtle reformulation of them.

⁵In their recent definition of a locally compact quantum group, Kustermans and Vaes [9] require the existence of a Haar system as an axiom, too.

⁶A category is called small when the space of arrows is a set.

⁷An $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{B}$ bimodule, where $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are complex algebras, is a vector space $\mathcal{E}$ with a left action of $\mathfrak{A}$ and a right action of $\mathfrak{B}$ which commute. We write $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \leftarrow \mathfrak{B}$.

We may, of course, dualize m as a map $\tilde{\Delta} : C(\mathcal{C}_1) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{C}_2)$, defined by $\tilde{\Delta}f(x, y) = f(xy)$, where $(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}_2$. This is rather awkward, and doesn't suggest a noncommutative generalization. However, we note the C^* -algebraic isomorphism

$$C(\mathcal{C}_1) \otimes_{C(\mathcal{C}_0)} C(\mathcal{C}_1) \simeq C(\mathcal{C}_2), \quad (12)$$

where the left-hand side is the completed bimodule tensor product. This is defined by first taking the C^* -algebraic tensor product of $C(\mathcal{C}_1)$ with itself, which is isomorphic to $C(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_1)$, and subsequently taking the quotient of the latter by the closed ideal generated by $\eta_s^{(1)}(C(\mathcal{C}_0)) - \eta_t^{(2)}(C(\mathcal{C}_0))$; the notation means that $(\eta_s^{(1)}\tilde{f})(x, y) = \tilde{f}(s(x))$ and $(\eta_t^{(1)}\tilde{f})(x, y) = \tilde{f}(t(y))$. The isomorphism (12) is easily established with the aid of the Stone–Weierstrass theorem. Accordingly, we may dualize groupoid multiplication by a “coproductoid”⁸

$$\Delta : C(\mathcal{C}_1) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{C}_1) \otimes_{C(\mathcal{C}_0)} C(\mathcal{C}_1), \quad (13)$$

defined by combining $\tilde{\Delta}$ with the isomorphism (12). Being essentially the pullback of the continuous map m , this map is a morphism of unital C^* -algebras. In addition, note that $C(\mathcal{C}_1) \otimes_{C(\mathcal{C}_0)} C(\mathcal{C}_1)$ is a $C(\mathcal{C}_0) - C(\mathcal{C}_0)$ bimodule in the obvious way (inheriting the left action of $C(\mathcal{C}_0)$ on the first factor and the right action on the second). Now the groupoid axioms $t(fg) = t(f)$ and $s(fg) = s(g)$ correspond to Δ being a morphism of bimodules. As in the case of semigroups, associativity of the groupoid product is equivalent to coassociativity of Δ , now expressed by

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{C(\mathcal{C}_0)} \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes_{C(\mathcal{C}_0)} \text{id}) \circ \Delta. \quad (14)$$

Here the bimodule tensor product of two maps is well defined, since each is a morphism of bimodules.

The object inclusion map ι is evidently dualized by the counit $\epsilon : C(\mathcal{C}_1) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{C}_0)$, given by $\epsilon = \iota^*$. Regarding $C(\mathcal{C}_0)$ as a $C(\mathcal{C}_0) - C(\mathcal{C}_0)$ bimodule in the obvious way, the groupoid axiom $t \circ \iota = s \circ \iota = \text{id}$ is equivalent to ϵ being morphism of bimodules. The axiom $x\iota(s(x)) = \iota(t(x))x = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_1$ is dually expressed by

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{C(\mathcal{C}_0)} \epsilon) \circ \Delta = (\epsilon \otimes_{C(\mathcal{C}_0)} \text{id}) \circ \Delta = \text{id}; \quad (15)$$

cf. the comment following (14).

Combining these structures, one easily obtains a duality between the category of compact categories (with functors as arrows) and the category of pairs of unital commutative C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$, equipped with injections $\eta_{s,t} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, a counit $\epsilon : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, and a coproductoid $\Delta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}$.

A groupoid Γ is a small category in which every arrow is invertible; it follows that $xx^{-1} = \iota(t(x))$ and $x^{-1}x = \iota(s(x))$. The inverse is dually described by a coinverse $S : C(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow C(\Gamma_1)$, still given by (4). We now have the property $S \circ \eta_{s,t} = \eta_{t,s}$, stating that S is an anti-morphism of the bimodule $C(\Gamma_0) \rightarrow C(\Gamma_1) \leftarrow C(\Gamma_0)$. Since condition (6) cannot be written down for groupoids, we will generalize (8), and, instead of (6), impose

$$\tau \circ (S \otimes_{C(\Gamma_0)} S) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ S. \quad (16)$$

Because of the presence of τ , the left-hand side is well defined.

There exists an analogue of Haar measure for (locally) compact groupoids; for each $q \in \Gamma_0$ one now has a measure μ_q^t on Γ_1 with support $t^{-1}(q)$, such that the family is left-invariant in the obvious sense [17, 11]. Such a “Haar system”, whose existence, in contradistinction to the group case, needs to be postulated (except for Lie groupoids, where it is automatic [11]), leads to a map

⁸For a related concept in pure algebra, see Deligne [5]; also cf. [13] for applications to algebraic quantum groupoids, and [19] for a similar coproduct occurring in the definition of a measurable quantum groupoid.

$P : C(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow C(\Gamma_0)$, given by $P(f) : q \rightarrow \int_{t^{-1}(q)} d\mu_q^t(x) f(x)$. This map intertwines the left action λ of $C(\Gamma_0)$ on $C(\Gamma_1)$ with the natural left action of $C(\Gamma_0)$ on itself. This renders the property

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{C(\Gamma_0)} P) \circ \Delta = P, \quad (17)$$

which replaces (9), well defined; strictly speaking, the right-hand side should be preceded by the canonical isomorphism $C(\Gamma_1) \otimes_{C(\Gamma_0)} C(\Gamma_0) \rightarrow C(\Gamma_1)$.

We eventually arrive at a duality between compact groupoids and pairs of unital commutative C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$, equipped with injections $\eta_{s,t} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, a coproductoid $\Delta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}$, a coinverse satisfying (16), and a Haar measure. The latter replaces the counit in the axiomatic setup⁹.

3 Intermezzo on Hilbert bimodules

As we have seen, the dual description of categories and groupoids, as compared with unital semi-groups and groups, respectively, is that tensorproducts over $\mathbb{C}$ tend to be replaced by tensorproducts over $C(\mathcal{C}_0)$ or $C(\Gamma_0)$. In defining a compact quantum groupoid, one would now like to replace $C(\Gamma_1)$ and $C(\Gamma_0)$ by arbitrary unital C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$. Our construction of a suitable bimodule tensor product over $\mathfrak{B}$ is based on the theory of Hilbert bimodules, which generalize Hilbert spaces and C^* -algebras. We briefly review this theory.

First, recall the concept of a Hilbert module (alternatively called a C^* -module [4] or a Hilbert C^* -module [10, 15, 11]) over a given C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{B}$. This is a complex linear space $\mathcal{E}$ equipped with a right action of $\mathfrak{B}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ and a compatible $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued inner product, that is, a sesquilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, linear in the second and antilinear in the first entry, satisfying $\langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}^* = \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}$. One requires $\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} \geq 0$, and $\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = 0$ iff $\Psi = 0$. The space $\mathcal{E}$ has to be complete in the norm $\|\Psi\|^2 = \|\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}\|$. The compatibility condition is $\langle \Psi, \Phi B \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = \langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} B$.

For example, a Hilbert space is a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}$, and a C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ may be seen as a Hilbert module over itself, in which $\langle A, B \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = A^* B$. Note that the C^* -norm in $\mathfrak{B}$ coincides with its norm as a Hilbert module because of the C^* -axiom $\|A^* A\| = \|A\|^2$.

A map $A : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ for which there exists a map $A^* : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $\langle \Psi, A\Phi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = \langle A^*\Psi, \Phi \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is called adjointable. An adjointable map is automatically $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, $\mathfrak{B}$ -linear, and bounded. The adjoint of an adjointable map is unique, and the map $A \mapsto A^*$ defines an involution on the space $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E})$ of all adjointable maps on $\mathcal{E}$. This space thereby becomes a C^* -algebra.

An $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{B}$ Hilbert bimodule, where $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ are C^* -algebras, is now defined as a Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathfrak{B}$, along with a $*$ -homomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}$ into $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E})$. Hence one has a space with a $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued inner product and compatible left $\mathfrak{A}$ and right $\mathfrak{B}$ -actions¹⁰, where it should be remarked that the left and right compatibility conditions are quite different from each other¹¹.

A Hilbert bimodule over $\mathfrak{B}$ is a $\mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{B}$ Hilbert bimodule. For example, a Hilbert space is a Hilbert bimodule over $\mathbb{C}$, and a C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ is a Hilbert bimodule over itself. One may construct a tensor products $\mathcal{E}_1 \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{E}_2$ of two Hilbert bimodules over $\mathfrak{B}$, yielding an object of the same kind. The definition is a special case of the following construction, which goes back to Rieffel [18] (also see [10, 15]).

Given an $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{B}$ Hilbert bimodule $\mathcal{E}_1$ and a $\mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{C}$ Hilbert bimodule $\mathcal{E}_2$, define a $\mathfrak{C}$ -valued inner product on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ by

$$\langle \Psi_1 \otimes \Psi_2, \Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\otimes} = \langle \Psi_2, \langle \Psi_1, \Phi_1 \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} \Phi_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{C}}. \quad (18)$$

⁹In the context of finite groupoids this possibility was first mentioned in [22]; also see [19].

¹⁰Note that an $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{B}$ Hilbert bimodule is an $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{B}$ bimodule, since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{E})$ commutes with the right $\mathfrak{B}$ -action.

¹¹One sometimes calls $\mathcal{E}$ a C^* -correspondence between $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$. Correspondences between von Neumann algebras [4] are a special case of C^* -correspondences; see [1].

This is positive semidefinite; the completion of the quotient of $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ by the null space of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\otimes}$ is $\mathcal{E}_1 \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{E}_2$ as a vector space¹². The crucial point is that $\mathcal{E}_1 \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{E}_2$ inherits the left action of $\mathfrak{A}$ on $\mathcal{E}_1$, the right action of $\mathfrak{C}$ on $\mathcal{E}_2$, and also the $\mathfrak{C}$ -valued inner product (18), so that $\mathcal{E}_1 \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{E}_2$ itself becomes an $\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{C}$ Hilbert bimodule. For Hilbert bimodules over $\mathfrak{B}$, simply take $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{B}$.

4 Corepresentations

As a first application, we employ the topological bimodule tensor product $\hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ to formulate a theory of corepresentations of compact groupoids. Recall [11] that an action of a groupoid Γ on a fibered space $S \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma_0$ is a map $\Gamma_{1s} *_p S \rightarrow S$, such that $p(x\sigma) = t(x)$, $\iota(p(\sigma))\sigma = \sigma$, and $x(y\sigma) = (xy)\sigma$ whenever defined. Hence $x \in \Gamma_1$ maps $p^{-1}(s(x))$ into $p^{-1}(t(x))$. For example, groupoid multiplication is seen to be an action of Γ on $\Gamma \xrightarrow{t} \Gamma_0$. Or choose $S = \Gamma_0$ and $p = \text{id}$. The product of two actions on $S_i \xrightarrow{p_i} \Gamma_0$, $i = 1, 2$, is defined on $S_{1p_1} *_p S_2 \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma_0$, and is given by $x : (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mapsto (x\sigma_1, x\sigma_2)$.

A unitary representation of Γ is an action for which S is a continuous field $\mathcal{H}$ of Hilbert spaces (cf. [15]) over Γ_0 , and $x : \mathcal{H}_{s(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{t(x)}$ is a unitary operator $U(x)$ ¹³. The tensor product of two such representations is defined on the tensor product of the two fields in the obvious way.

The dual description of unitary representations and their tensor products is a generalization of the corresponding situation for unitary group representations, where a representation $U(G)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (here seen as a field of Hilbert spaces over a point) is dualized by a so-called corepresentation $\delta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes C(G) \simeq C(G, \mathcal{H})$, where $\delta\Psi(x) = U(x)\Psi$ for $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$. For compact groupoids we should regard $\Gamma_{1t} *_p \mathcal{H}$ as a bundle over Γ_1 , with space of continuous sections $C(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_{1t} *_p \mathcal{H})$. A representation $U(\Gamma)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ then corresponds to a corepresentation $\delta : C(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_{1t} *_p \mathcal{H})$ that dualizes U , given by

$$\delta\Psi(x) = U(x)\Psi(s(x)). \quad (19)$$

For a neat description, first note that, for X compact, the class of continuous fields $\mathcal{H}$ of Hilbert spaces over X precisely corresponds to the class of full¹⁴ Hilbert bimodules $C(X, \mathcal{H})$ over $C(X)$; indeed, this C^* -algebraic Serre–Swan theorem is a good way to define a continuous fields of Hilbert spaces [15]. Secondly, we may turn $C(\Gamma_1)$ into a $C(\Gamma_0) - C(\Gamma_1)$ Hilbert bimodule by putting $\lambda(\tilde{f})g = t^*(\tilde{f})g$, $\rho(f)g = fg$, and (canonically) $\langle f, g \rangle_{C(\Gamma_1)} = \overline{f}g$ for $f, g \in C(\Gamma_1)$ and $\tilde{f} \in C(\Gamma_0)$. Hence we may form the tensor product of the $C(\Gamma_0) - C(\Gamma_0)$ Hilbert bimodule $C(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{H})$ with the $C(\Gamma_0) - C(\Gamma_1)$ Hilbert bimodule $C(\Gamma_1)$, which yields the isomorphism

$$C(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{H}) \hat{\otimes}_{C(\Gamma_0)} C(\Gamma_1) \simeq C(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_{1t} *_p \mathcal{H}) \quad (20)$$

as $C(\Gamma_0) - C(\Gamma_1)$ Hilbert bimodules. Accordingly, we may define a corepresentation of Γ as a map $\delta : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \hat{\otimes}_{C(\Gamma_0)} C(\Gamma_1)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is some full Hilbert bimodule over $C(\Gamma_0)$. The defining conditions of a groupoid actions may be dualized by the axioms

$$\langle \delta\Psi, \delta\Phi \rangle_{C(\Gamma_1)} = s^* \langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle_{C(\Gamma_0)}; \quad (21)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{C(\Gamma_0)} \Delta) \circ \delta = (\delta \otimes_{C(\Gamma_0)} \text{id}) \circ \delta; \quad (22)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{C(\Gamma_0)} \epsilon) \circ \delta = \text{id}. \quad (23)$$

Note that (21) implies that $\delta(\tilde{f}\Psi) = s^*(\tilde{f})\delta(\Psi)$ for $\tilde{f} \in C(\Gamma_0)$, which renders (23) well defined.

Finally, the tensor product of two unitary representation of Γ on $\mathcal{E}_i = C(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{H}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, is precisely defined on $\mathcal{E}_1 \hat{\otimes}_{C(\Gamma_0)} \mathcal{E}_2 \simeq C(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$. This reinforces our general philosophy of replacing $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\hat{\otimes}_{C(\Gamma_0)}$ in passing from groups to groupoids.

¹² This space turns out to be a certain completion of the algebraic bimodule tensor product $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{E}_2$, so that $\hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a topological tensor product in the sense of Grothendieck.

¹³ In category language, this is simply a functor from Γ to the category of Hilbert spaces with partial isometries as arrows.

¹⁴ A Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathfrak{B}$ is called full when $\langle \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{B}$.

5 A definition of compact quantum groupoids

We are now in a position to define a compact quantum groupoid. The basic structure is a pair of unital C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ that generalize $C(\Gamma_1)$ and $C(\Gamma_0)$, respectively. The injective unital maps $\eta_s : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and $\eta_t : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ are now required to be a $*$ -homomorphism and a $*$ -antihomomorphism, respectively, whose images in $\mathfrak{A}$ commute. The noncommutative Haar measure, whose existence is now taken as an axiom replacing the counit, is defined as a faithful completely positive map $P : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ satisfying $P(A\eta_s(B)) = P(A)B$. In other words, $E = \eta_s \circ P : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \eta_s(\mathfrak{B}) \subset \mathfrak{A}$ is a faithful conditional expectation.

This setup enables us to define a certain Hilbert bimodule $\mathfrak{A}^-$ over $\mathfrak{B}$, as follows. We first define a left $\mathfrak{B}$ -action λ and a right $\mathfrak{B}$ -action ρ on $\mathfrak{A}$ by $\lambda(B)A = A\eta_t(B)$ and $\rho(B)A = A\eta_s(B)$. Subsequently, we put a $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued inner product on $\mathfrak{A}$ by $\langle A, C \rangle_{\mathfrak{B}} = P(A^*C)$ ¹⁵. Since P is faithful, we may define a new norm on $\mathfrak{A}$ by $\|A\|^2 = \|P(A^*A)\|_{\mathfrak{B}}$. The completion of $\mathfrak{A}$ in this norm is $\mathfrak{A}^-$, which is a Hilbert module over $\mathfrak{B}$. If the technical condition $\lambda(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{A}^-)$ is satisfied¹⁶, then the given data even define $\mathfrak{A}^-$ as a Hilbert bimodule over $\mathfrak{B}$.

The next step is to form the tensor product $\mathfrak{A}^- \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}^-$. By the general theory, this is a Hilbert bimodule over $\mathfrak{B}$. In addition, one obtains two $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi^i : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{A}^- \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}^-)$, $i = 1, 2$, by noting that the maps $\varphi_1(A) : A_1 \otimes A_2 \mapsto (AA_1) \otimes A_2$ and $\varphi_2(A) : A_1 \otimes A_2 \mapsto A_1 \otimes (AA_2)$ from $\mathfrak{A}$ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A})$ ¹⁷ quotient and extend to $\mathfrak{A}^- \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}^-$.

We finally define the unital C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}$ as the C^* -algebra in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathfrak{A}^- \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}^-)$ that is generated by $\varphi^1(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\varphi^2(\mathfrak{A})$. The definition of a compact quantum groupoid is completed by postulating a coproductoid $\Delta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}$, as well as a coinverse $S : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ that is an algebra and bimodule anti-homomorphism. The compatibility axioms are

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \Delta) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} \text{id}) \circ \Delta; \quad (24)$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} P) \circ \Delta = P; \quad (25)$$

$$\tau \circ (S \otimes_{\mathfrak{B}} S) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ S; \quad (26)$$

cf. (14), (17), and (16), respectively. One may check that in the commutative case these axioms reduce to the dual description of a compact groupoid¹⁸.

The reformulation of the representation theory of a compact groupoid Γ as a theory of corepresentations of $C(\Gamma_1)$ on full Hilbert bimodules over $C(\Gamma_0)$ in the preceding section has been motivated by the possibility of setting up a corepresentation theory of compact quantum groupoids. Indeed, one may now define a corepresentation as a map $\delta : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{A}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert bimodule over $\mathfrak{B}$, satisfying axioms resembling those stated for the commutative case. The collection of all corepresentations of a given compact quantum groupoid over $\mathfrak{B}$ then becomes a tensor category under $\hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

References

- [1] Baillet, M., Denizeau, Y., Havet, J.-F.: Indice d'une espérance conditionnelle. *Compositio Math.* **66**, 199–236 (1988).
- [2] Bohm, G., Nill, F., Szlachanyi, K.: Weak Hopf Algebras I: Integral Theory and C^* -structure. *E-print math.QA/9805116*.
- [3] Bruguières, A.: Théorie tannakienne non commutative. *Comm. Algebra* **22**, 5817–5860 (1994).
- [4] Connes, A.: *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, 1984.

¹⁵This “localizes” the canonical Hilbert module over $\mathfrak{A}$ with respect to P ; see [10].

¹⁶This is true for all von Neumann algebras and all unital commutative C^* -algebras. The condition may well be superfluous.

¹⁷Here $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ is the algebraic tensor product over $\mathbb{C}$.

¹⁸One might define a compact quantum category by omitting the coinverse from our definition of a compact quantum groupoid, but in the commutative case one wouldn't necessary recover a category.

- [5] Deligne, P.: Catégories tannakiennes. *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, 111–195. Progr. Math. **87**, Birkhuser Boston, 1990.
- [6] Enock, M., Vallin, J.-M.: Inclusions of von Neumann algebras and quantum groupoids. *Inst. Math. Jussieu Prépublication* **156** (1998).
- [7] Etingof, P., Schiffmann, O.: Lectures on the dynamical Yang-Baxter equations. *E-print* math.QA/9908064.
- [8] Hofmann, K. H.: *The Duality of Compact Semigroups and C^* -bigebras*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **129**. Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [9] Kustermans, J., Vaes, S.: A simple definition for locally compact quantum groups. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **328**, 871–876 (1999).
- [10] Lance, E.C.: *Hilbert C^* -Modules. A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [11] Landsman, N.P.: *Mathematical Topics Between Classical and Quantum Mechanics*. Springer, New York, 1998.
- [12] Lu, J.-H.: Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids. *Internat. J. Math.* **7**, 47–7 (1996).
- [13] Maltsiniotis, G.: Groupoïdes quantiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **314**, 249–252 (1992).
- [14] Nikshych, D., Vainerman, L.: Algebraic versions of a finite-dimensional quantum groupoid. *E-print* math.QA/9808054.
- [15] Raeburn, I., Williams, D.P.: *Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^* -Algebras*. AMS, Providence, 1998.
- [16] Rehren, K.-H.: Weak C^* Hopf symmetry. *Proc. 21st Int. Coll. Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, Eds. Bohm, A., Doebner, H.-D., Kielanowski, P. *Lecture Notes in Physics* **504**. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [17] Renault, J.: [1980] *A Groupoid Approach to C^* -algebras*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **793**. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [18] Rieffel, M.A.: Morita equivalence for C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **5**, 51–96 (1974).
- [19] Vallin, J.-M.: Bimodules de Hopf et poids opératoriels de Haar. *J. Operator Theory* **35**, 39–65 (1996).
- [20] Woronowicz, S. L.: Compact quantum groups. *Symétries Quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, 845–884. Eds. Connes, A., Gawedzki, K., Zinn-Justin, J. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [21] Xu, P.: Quantum groupoids. *E-print* math.QA/9905192.
- [22] Yamanouchi, T.: Duality for generalized Kac algebras and a characterization of finite groupoid algebras. *J. Alg.* **163**, 9–50 (1984).